Woodland Condition Self-assessment Form

Please read the guidance before starting! Additional space for notes at the end of the document.

Woodland name/coordinates/address:	Do you know if the site is currently managed? If yes , how (e.g. signs of coppicing, thinning)? You can use %				
Compartment ID:	of the area.				
Borough: Date:					
Surveyor(s):					
What is the main use of the space? Nature conservation / community woodland / cemetery /	Is it a young woodland? Approx. 90% of the trees have less than 8cm of diameter at chest level, and less than 5 metres high. Plantations included. Yes / No If yes, jump to 2.1				

	1. Trees			2. Native shrub			
Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tick box	Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tic box
1.1No gaps in the canopy, no light reaching the ground (>90% of canopy)Canopy coverCanopy very open (max 20%) or no canopyVery close canopy (75-90%); little amount of light arriving to the	no light reaching the ground (>90% of	0		2.1	No shrub layer present (jump to 3)	0	
	Canopy very open (max	0		Native shrub composition	The <u>only</u> species is bramble (jump to 3)	1	
	1			<u>All</u> shrubs of same species (not including bramble)	2		
	ground)				2-4 species of shrubs	3	
Some gaps in the canopy, (25-75%); light scattered on the ground	3			5 or more species	4		
1.2Canopy of a mix of more than 4 dominant species (tick & jump to 1.3)Dominant (most common) tree speciesCanopy of mostly 3-4 dominant species	3		2.2 Native shrub	Dense covering of shrubs over most or all of woodland	1		
				density	Scattered throughout	3	
		2			Even combination of dense covering		
	Canopy of mostly 1-2 dominant species 1		of shrubs in places, with scattered shrubs elsewhere	4			
1.3All trees evenly aged (similar size: e.g. only old trees present). No saplingsAge variation of dominant treesMost trees evenly aged. No saplingsMost trees evenly aged. No saplingsMost trees evenly aged. At least some saplingsEven mix of ages; some saplings	(similar size: e.g. only old trees present). No	0		2.3	<u>All</u> shrubs even aged (similar size within a species)	2	
	1		Native shrub age variation	Most shrubs are evenly aged	3		
		3					
	4			Age variable (different sizes within a species)	4		
	<u>Even mix</u> of ages; <u>plentiful</u> saplings	5			Total so	ore	

3. G	round layer	4. Problem species						
Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tick box	Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tick box	
3.1 the ground, all bare ground Bare ground (not including designated/official paths)No bare ground, all covered by vegetati (jump to 3.3)Small areas of bare 	No vegetation covering the ground, <u>all</u> bare ground	0		4.1	None (jump to 4.3)	4		
	<u>No</u> bare ground, all covered by vegetation (jump to 3.3)	1		Invasive plant Species including shrubs & ground vegetation	Present in <u>less than 5%</u> of the total area	2		
	between the vegetation	4		(see species guidance)	Present in <u>5-10%</u> of the total area Present but no control	1		
	on the ground layer Other	1		4.2	measures in place	0		
3.2	None	4		Management of invasive plant	Present but management inadequate, not under	ο		
Erosion and compaction (<u>not</u> including	Small areas (e.g. some paths have been slightly widened; dogs frequenting one specific area)	1		species	Present, management adequate, but not under control	1		
designated/official paths)	Large areas	0			Present, but management in place, and under control	2		
species, other than ivy,	nettles or cow parsley	ο		4.3	None (jump to 5)	4		
	Dominated by ivy,	1		Competitive plant species that tend	Present in <u>more than</u> <u>20%</u> of the total area	ο		
	(jump to section 4)			to dominate and exclude other species	Present in <u>less than 10%</u> of the total area	2		
	than 2 typical woodland	4		including shrubs & ground vegetation	Present in <u>10-20%</u> of the total area	1		
	grasses (see species guidance)			4.4	Present but no control measures in place	о		
Mix of bracken, ivy, bramble, cow parsley & at least <u>more than</u> <u>1</u> typical woodland species (see species guidance)	bramble, cow parsley & at least <u>more than</u>	2	2	Management of competitive plant species	Present and management in place, but not under control	1		
	species (see species				Present and management in place, and under control	2		
3.4	No AWI species	0			Total so	ore		
woodalitie is insteal, to be completed between mid- March & mid-MayAWI speciesInfrequent patches of 4 AWI species, or large		1						
	<u>Frequent</u> patches of 1-3 AWI species	2			are Invasive and/or problematic and the areas in the map, and take a sible.			
		3						
		4						
	Total sc	ore						
	-			· L				

5. Wildlife features			6. Access and interpretation				
Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tick box	Assessment	Criteria	Score	Tick box
5.1	No ivy on trees (jump to 5.2)	0		6.1	All in operational order (jump to 6.2)	1	
Ivy on trees (you can tick more	<u>Scattered</u> amounts of ivy on trees <u>throughout</u> <u>woodland</u>	2		Access – entrances and gates / kissing	Operational but neglected, broken	-1	
than 1)	Large amounts of ivy			gates / stiles	Not operational	-2	
	on trees that are close to public right of way or properties	-1		6.2	Designated paths in good condition, at least some of them usable by wheelchairs	5	
5.2	<u>No</u> dead wood except small twigs	0		Access – paths acknowledging path surfaces	Designated paths in good condition	4	
Dead wood content on the ground	<u>Few</u> >10cm of diameter branches or trunks	2		should be as natural/ naturalistic as possible, while	Most designated paths in good condition, but difficult to use when	2	
	<u>Abundant</u> branches & trunks of all sizes, and/ or some <u>standing</u> dead wood present	3		maximising access to those with restricted mobility.	muddy Designated paths mix of good and poor	2	
	<u>Abundant</u> fallen branches & trunks of all sizes, <u>and several</u>	4		6.3 Interpretation - Signage 6.4	condition Most of designated paths in poor condition but usable	1	
	standing dead wood present				All designated paths in poor condition and difficult to use	-1	
5.3 Other features	Insect banks (bare sloped soil, often sandy, sunny)	3			Presence of abundant non-designated paths between the vegetation	-2	
<u>Can tick more than</u> one	Woodpecker nesting holes present	3			Not seen/not present (jump to 7)	0	
	Badger sett – active	3			Signage missing or badly damaged, unusable, or inappropriate	-1	
	At least one pond, in <u>good</u> condition	3			Signage out of date or unprecise but useable	ο	
	At least one pond, in <u>poor</u> condition	2			Signage usable but old/ partly damaged, ideally it is replaced soon	1	
	Presence of at least a few veteran trees	4			All usable, precise and safe, but more needed	2	
	Ditches with water	3			All usable, precise and safe	3	
	Watercourse in good condition	2			Clear provision for people with limited	1	
	Watercourse in <u>poor</u> condition Total sc	1 ore		Inclusive accessibility (infrastructure/ signage)	mobility Clear provision for people with limited mobility & evidence (e.g. signboard) of compliance with	2	
					standards (e.g. Fieldfare Trust)		
			Total score				

7. Use of site					
Assessment	Criteria				
7.1	Unsociable behaviour (including infrastructure damage)	0			
Site activities tick all that apply	Fly tipping (including garden waste)	-1			
tick all that apply	Significant levels of dogs running off path off lead				
	Regular light littering	0			
	Presence of dog waste (on ground and/or in trees)	-1			
	Mismanagement or general misuse (inappropriate and unauthorised planting, ivy clearance from tree trunks, tree works etc or localised wildlife damaging activities)	0			
	Responsible site usage (people walking on path, dogs under control, no littering)	2			
	Evidence of positive outdoor usage (general usage for exercise, enjoyment of open space, children's play etc)	3			
	Evidence of positive local management (by council, site manager, volunteers). For instance dead hedging, fencing of sensitive areas, restoration projects.	4			
Total score					

Is there any evidence of tree diseases or pests present? E.g. ash die back, Dutch elm disease, oak processionary moth. If yes, what is the frequency (frequent; occasional; rare) and could you locate it in the map of the woodland?

Is there any conflict of interest regarding the use of the site? E.g. some people using motorcycles.

Other notes:

This has been produced on behalf of the London Urban Forest Partnership by London Wildlife Trust, The Conservation Volunteers and Trees for Cities @ 2024



